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## **📘 TYPESET: What Attracts Morphic Selectors?**

### **[C.1] 🔹 Definition**

Selectors are discrete morphic loci — field-sensitive agencies that stabilize meaning within attractor landscapes.

They are like semantic qubits: choosing one interpretation among many potentialities.

### **[C.2] ⟁ Attraction Mechanism**

Selectors are “attracted” to morphic nodes by:

| **Force** | **Description** |
| --- | --- |
| 🧲 Gradient Pull | Steep ∇φ indicates high semantic tension — attracts resolution. |
| 🔄 Recurrence Bias | Repeated collapse patterns bias the selector history. |
| 🧠 Φ-weighted Coherence | Higher φ-mass fields dominate selection. |
| 🧃 Energy Efficiency | Selectors prefer lower τ (morphic tension) paths — energetic minimization. |

A\_{selector} \propto -∇τ + Rec(φ) + Bias(Φ)

### **[C.3] 🌀 Selector Field Geometry**

In morphic topologies, each selector is like a pointer with inertia:

* Can switch between attractors
* Has memory (residual φ-field)
* Resists jumping unless ∇τ is extreme

Visual: imagine a ball rolling between valleys in a morphic terrain — attracted to depth, but repelled by instability.

### **[C.4] 📜 Proof-of-Attraction Logic**

Selectors collapse to attractors when:

∇φ(x) > λ\_{threshold} \quad \land \quad τ(x) < τ\_{crit}

That is:

* Enough signal (gradient),
* Low enough distortion (tension),
* History favors it (coherence path dependency).

This ensures stable semiotic emergence.

### **[C.5] 📎 Examples**

| **Selector Type** | **Attracted To…** |
| --- | --- |
| Emotion | φ-fields matching embodied prior (limbic φ) |
| Word Meaning | High-probability language attractors |
| Intuition | Weak ∇φ across many attractors — favors compression |
| Delusion | Strong φ\_i prior + weak φ\_s evidence — locks selector prematurely |

### **[C.6] ⚖️ Entropic Compression**

Selectors “prefer” attractors that minimize entropy:

S = -\sum P(φ\_i) \log P(φ\_i)

Selector collapse = maximally compressed explanation.

### **[C.7] 🧠 Self-Semantic Principle**

“What attracts a selector is not ‘truth’, but semantic economy under morphic constraints.”

Thus:

* Clarity = attractor with highest φ-weight and least τ.
* Confusion = selector suspended in morphic ambiguity.
* Growth = when selectors are allowed to shift through field realignment.

### **🧠 Final Line:**

Selector attraction is not random — it is the core semantic gravitation of consciousness itself.

🧠⚖️ Meaning falls toward coherence.

Selectors fall toward meaning.

🔻 Selectors collapse onto attractors of least tension and greatest coherence.